

IRSTI: 27.27.17

V. A. Pavlovsky, I. L. Vasiliev

Belarusian State University, Minsk, Belarus
(E-mail: pavlad95@gmail.com, vassilyevl@bsu.by)

ON h -HOLOMORPHY AND h -ANALYTICITY OF FUNCTIONS OF AN h -COMPLEX VARIABLE

Abstract: Interest in the study of the properties of functions defined on the set of h -complex numbers arose again in connection with existing applications in geometry and mechanics. In this paper, we present necessary and sufficient conditions for h -differentiability and h -holomorphy of functions of an h -complex variable, the theorem on finite increments is proved, sufficient conditions for h -analyticity are found, a uniqueness theorem for h -analytic functions is proved.

Keywords: h -differentiability, h -holomorphy, h -analyticity, Ring of h -complex numbers, Zero divisors, Zeros of a function

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32523/2616-7182/2020-133-4-19-27>

Introduction

Let \mathbb{C}_h be the set of all h -complex numbers [1-6], i.e. the set of ordered pairs of real numbers, on which the addition and multiplication operations are given according to the rules:

$$\forall z_1 = (a; b), z_2 = (c; d) \in \mathbb{C}_h$$

1. $z_1 + z_2 = (a + c; b + d)$;
2. $z_1 \cdot z_2 = (ac + bd; ad + bc)$.

The real unit is identified by the h -complex number $(1; 0)$. A hyperbolic unit is the h -complex number $j = (0; 1)$. Then any number from \mathbb{C}_h can be represented in algebraic form:

$$z = (a; b) = (a; 0) + (0; b) = a \cdot (1; 0) + b \cdot (0; 1) = a + jb = \operatorname{Re} z + j \operatorname{Hyp} z,$$

where $a = \operatorname{Re} z$ is the real part of z , $b = \operatorname{Hyp} z$ is the hyperbolic part of z .

As shown in [7,8], the set of h -complex numbers \mathbb{C}_h is a zero-divisor ring. Zero-divisors are numbers of the form $a \pm aj$. Special mention should be the case when $a = \frac{1}{2}$, then zero divisors have the following properties:

$$(a) \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \left(\frac{1 \pm j}{2}\right)^n = \frac{1 \pm j}{2};$$

(b) the numbers $\frac{1 \pm j}{2}$ form a basis in \mathbb{C}_h , i.e. any h -complex number $a + bj$ can be uniquely represented as:

$$a + jb = (a + b) \frac{1 + j}{2} + (a - b) \frac{1 - j}{2}.$$

The norm of the element $z = a + jb$ in the ring \mathbb{C}_h is defined as: $\|z\| = |a| + |b|$, and the modulus of a h -complex number is $|z| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ as usual.

Let us present the properties of the norm:

1. $\|z\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow z = 0$;
2. $|z| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \leq |a| + |b| = \|z\| \leq \sqrt{2}\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} = \sqrt{2}|z|$;
3. $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R} : \|\alpha z\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|z\|$;
4. $\forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}_h : \|z_1 \cdot z_2\| \leq \|z_1\| \cdot \|z_2\|$;
5. $\|z^n\| = \|z\|^n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$;
6. $\frac{1}{\|z\|} \leq \left\|\frac{1}{z}\right\|$.

On the set \mathbb{C}_h , the topology is introduced using the above norm.

h -complex argument functions

Let D be a domain in \mathbb{C}_h and $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_h$.

Definition 1. The function f is called h -differentiable at the point $z \in D$ if there exists a number $k \in \mathbb{C}_h$ such that

$$f(z+h) - f(z) = kh + \alpha(h) \cdot h, \tag{1}$$

where $h \in D$ is not a divisor of zero, and $z+h \in D$, moreover, $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \alpha(h) = 0$, k does not depend on h .

Definition 2. The derivative of a function f of an h -complex argument $z \in D$ is called

$$f'(z) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h},$$

where $h \in \mathbb{C}_h$ is not a zero divisor. The limit is taken according to the norm from \mathbb{C}_h .

The derivative of the sum, difference, product, quotient of division, and composition of functions is calculated using the same formulas as in classical analysis.

Theorem 1. *The function f is h -differentiable at the point $z \in D$ if and only if there exists*

$$f'(z) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h}.$$

The proof is carried out in the same way as in the case of an analytic function of a complex variable in this case $f'(z) = k$ from (1).

Any h -complex function $f(z) = f(x+jy)$ is representable in algebraic form:

$$f(z) = u(x, y) + jv(x, y).$$

Theorem 2. *Let $f(z) = u(x, y) + jv(x, y)$ be defined in a neighborhood of the point $z = x + jy$, functions $u(x, y)$, $v(x, y)$ are differentiable at the point (x, y) . Then two statements are equivalent:*

- 1) function $f(z)$ h -differentiable at the point z ;
- 2) the following equalities are true:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}. \tag{2}$$

P r o o f. Let us show that the 2) follows from the 1). Let be $h = s + jt$ and

$$f'(z) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h}.$$

We put $t = 0$:

$$f'(z) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x+s, y) - u(x, y)}{s} + j \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{v(x+s, y) - v(x, y)}{s} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + j \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}.$$

Let be $s = 0$ then:

$$f'(z) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x, y+t) - u(x, y)}{jt} + j \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{v(x, y+t) - v(x, y)}{jt} = j \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}.$$

We have:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + j \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + j \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$$

consequently equalities (2) are true.

Now we show that 2) implies 1). Let the equality (2) be true then

$$\begin{aligned} f(z+h) - f(z) &= [u(x+s, y+t) - u(x, y)] + j[v(x+s, y+t) - v(x, y)] = \\ &= (u'_x s + u'_y t + \alpha(h)h) + j(v'_x s + v'_y t + \beta(h)h) = u'_x(s+jt) + jv'_x(s+jt) + (\alpha(h) + j\beta(h))h = \\ &= (u'_x + jv'_x)h + \gamma(h)h, \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma(h) = \alpha(h) + j\beta(h)$, $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \gamma(h) = 0$. Consequently function $f(z)$ h -differentiable and

$$f'(z) = u'_x + jv'_x = v'_y + ju'_y.$$

The theorem is proved.

Remark 1. The equalities (2) are analogous to the Cauchy-Riemann conditions.

General form of h -holomorphic functions

Let the function f be h -differentiable in domain D .

Definition 3. The function $f(z) = u(x, y) + jv(x, y)$ is called h -holomorphic at the point $z_0 = x_0 + jy_0 \in D$ if the functions u and v have continuous second partial derivatives, and the conditions (2) are true.

Theorem 3. The function f is h -holomorphic at the point $z \in D$ if and only if

$$f(z) = \frac{1+j}{2}f(x+y) + \frac{1-j}{2}f(x-y). \quad (3)$$

P r o o f. Consider the function $f(z) = u(x, y) + jv(x, y)$. Let the condition (2) is true then the functions u and v satisfy the equations

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} = 0. \quad (4)$$

Let $\xi = \frac{1}{2}(x+y)$, $\eta = \frac{1}{2}(x-y)$ and then

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}x'_\xi + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}y'_\xi = u'_x + u'_y, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}x'_\eta + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}y'_\eta = u'_x - u'_y. \end{cases}$$

Mixed derivatives of functions u and v equal to zero

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \eta \partial \xi} = 0.$$

Thus, the equations (4) are equivalent to the following:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \eta \partial \xi} = 0. \quad (5)$$

Similarly, we obtain equations for the function v :

$$\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} = \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \eta \partial \xi} = 0. \quad (6)$$

Let's find a general solution (5) and (6):

$$u'_\xi = \mu^*(\xi)$$

$$u(\xi, \eta) = \int \mu^*(\xi) d\xi = \tilde{\mu}(\xi) + \tilde{\psi}(\eta) = \tilde{\mu}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + \tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\{\mu(x+y) + \psi(x-y)\},$$

$$v'_\xi = \phi^*(\xi)$$

$$v(\xi, \eta) = \int \phi^*(\xi) d\xi = \tilde{\phi}(\xi) + \tilde{\nu}(\eta) = \tilde{\phi}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + \tilde{\nu}\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\{\phi(x+y) + \nu(x-y)\}.$$

From equations

$$u'_x = v'_y, \quad v'_x = u'_y$$

follows

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\{\mu'(x+y) + \psi'(x-y)\} = \frac{1}{2}\{\phi'(x+y) - \nu'(x-y)\}, \\ \frac{1}{2}\{\mu'(x+y) - \psi'(x-y)\} = \frac{1}{2}\{\phi'(x+y) + \nu'(x-y)\}. \end{cases}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{cases} \mu'(x+y) = \phi'(x+y), & \mu(x+y) = \phi(x+y) + \alpha, \\ \psi'(x-y) = \nu'(x-y), & \psi(x-y) = \nu(x-y) + \beta, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} u(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \phi(x+y) + \psi(x-y) + \alpha \}, \\ v(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \phi(x+y) - \psi(x-y) + \beta \}. \end{cases}$$

We have:

$$\begin{cases} f(z) = f(x+jy) = u(x, y) + jv(x, y), & \begin{cases} f(x) = u(x, 0) + jv(x, 0), \\ \bar{f}(x) = u(x, 0) - jv(x, 0). \end{cases} \\ \bar{f}(z) = \bar{f}(x+jy) = u(x, y) - jv(x, y), \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{cases} u(x, 0) = \frac{1}{2} \{ f(x) + \bar{f}(x) \}, & \begin{cases} u(x, 0) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \phi(x) + \psi(x) + \alpha \}, \\ v(x, 0) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \phi(x) - \psi(x) + \beta \}, \end{cases} \\ v(x, 0) = \frac{1}{2} \{ f(x) - \bar{f}(x) \}, \end{cases}$$

which means

$$\begin{cases} \phi(x) = u(x, 0) + v(x, 0) - \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} \right), \\ \psi(x) = u(x, 0) - v(x, 0) - \left(\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2} \right), \end{cases}$$

then

$$\begin{cases} \phi(x) = \frac{1}{2} (f(x) + \bar{f}(x)) + \frac{j}{2} (f(x) - \bar{f}(x)) - \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} \right), \\ \psi(x) = \frac{1}{2} (f(x) + \bar{f}(x)) - \frac{j}{2} (f(x) - \bar{f}(x)) - \left(\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2} \right), \\ \phi(x) = \frac{1+j}{2} f(x) + \frac{1-j}{2} \bar{f}(x) - \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} \right), \\ \psi(x) = \frac{1-j}{2} f(x) + \frac{1+j}{2} \bar{f}(x) - \left(\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2} \right). \end{cases}$$

From this we find that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= u(x, y) + jv(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \phi(x+y) + \psi(x-y) + \alpha \} + \frac{j}{2} \{ \phi(x+y) - \psi(x-y) + \beta \} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1+j}{2} f(x+y) + \frac{1-j}{2} \bar{f}(x+y) - \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} \right) + \alpha + \frac{1+j}{2} f(x-y) + \frac{1-j}{2} \bar{f}(x-y) - \left(\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2} \right) \right\} + \\ &+ \frac{j}{2} \left\{ \frac{1+j}{2} f(x+y) + \frac{1-j}{2} \bar{f}(x+y) - \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} \right) - \frac{1+j}{2} f(x-y) - \frac{1-j}{2} \bar{f}(x-y) + \left(\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2} \right) + \beta \right\} = \\ &= \frac{1+j}{4} f(x+y) + \frac{1-j}{4} \bar{f}(x+y) + \frac{1-j}{4} f(x-y) + \frac{1+j}{4} \bar{f}(x-y) + \frac{1+j}{4} f(x+y) - \\ &- \frac{1-j}{4} \bar{f}(x+y) + \frac{1-j}{4} f(x-y) - \frac{1+j}{4} \bar{f}(x-y) = \frac{1+j}{2} f(x+y) + \frac{1-j}{2} f(x-y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the equality (3) is true.

Conversely, let (3) be true then for the function $f(z) = u(x, y) + jv(x, y)$, we put $y = 0$:

$$f(x) = u(x, 0) + jv(x, 0)$$

and then

$$\begin{cases} f(x+y) = u(x+y, 0) + jv(x+y, 0), \\ f(x-y) = u(x-y, 0) + jv(x-y, 0). \end{cases}$$

Using the equality (3) we represent the function $f(z)$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{1+j}{2} [u(x+y, 0) + jv(x+y, 0)] + \frac{1-j}{2} [u(x-y, 0) + jv(x-y, 0)] = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [u(x+y, 0) + v(x+y, 0) + u(x-y, 0) - v(x-y, 0)] + \\ &+ \frac{j}{2} [u(x+y, 0) + v(x+y, 0) - u(x-y, 0) + v(x-y, 0)] = u(x, y) + jv(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Due to the fact that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x},$$

we get the proved theorem.

Theorem 4. *The function f is h -holomorphic in $D \subset \mathbb{C}_h$ with piecewise smooth boundary ∂D and is continuous in closure $\bar{D} = D \cup \partial D$. Then*

$$\int_{\partial D} f(z) dz = 0.$$

P r o o f.

$$\int_{\partial D} f(z) dz = \int_{\partial D} [u(x, y) + jv(x, y)](dx + jdy) = \int_{\partial D} u(x, y) dx + v(x, y) dy + j \int_{\partial D} v(x, y) dy + u(x, y) dx.$$

Using Green's formula we obtain

$$\int_{\partial D} f(z) dz = \iint_D \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) dx dy + j \iint_D \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) dx dy = 0.$$

The theorem is proved.

Further, we need the following theorem of real analysis, which can be deduced from the second theorem "on finite increments" [9].

Theorem 5 (on finite increments for mappings from \mathbb{R}^2 into \mathbb{R}^2). *Let $F : \tilde{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be h -differentiable at the point $(a, b) \in \tilde{D}$. Then*

$$|F(a + s, b + t) - F(a, b)| \leq \max_{\xi \in [0, 1]} \left| F'(a + \xi s, b + \xi t) \begin{bmatrix} s \\ t \end{bmatrix} \right|. \quad (7)$$

P r o o f. We introduce an auxiliary function

$$g(\tau) = F(a + \tau s, b + \tau t), \quad \tau \in [0, 1].$$

We have:

$$g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, \quad g(0) = F(a, b), \quad g(1) = F(a + s, b + t), \\ g' = F'(a + \tau s, b + \tau t) \begin{bmatrix} s \\ t \end{bmatrix}.$$

We put

$$G(\tau) = \langle g(\tau) | g(1) - g(0) \rangle, \\ G : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad G'(\tau) = \langle g'(\tau) | g(1) - g(0) \rangle.$$

Hence, by Lagrange's theorem, it follows that

$$G(1) - G(0) = G'(\xi) \cdot 1, \quad \text{where } \xi \in [0, 1].$$

Using Cauchy's inequality for the scalar product we obtain

$$G(1) - G(0) = \langle g(1) | g(1) - g(0) \rangle - \langle g(0) | g(1) - g(0) \rangle = \langle g(1) - g(0) | g(1) - g(0) \rangle = \\ = |g(1) - g(0)|^2 = \langle g'(\xi) | g(1) - g(0) \rangle \leq \langle |g'(\xi)| | g(1) - g(0) \rangle.$$

Consequently

$$|g(1) - g(0)| \leq \max_{\xi \in [0, 1]} |g'(\xi)|.$$

This inequality is equivalent to the following

$$|F(a + s, b + t) - F(a, b)| \leq \max_{\xi \in [0, 1]} \left| F'(a + \xi s, b + \xi t) \begin{bmatrix} s \\ t \end{bmatrix} \right|.$$

The theorem is proved.

Remark 2. We represent the function $F(x, y)$ in vector form $F(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} u(x, y) \\ v(x, y) \end{bmatrix}$ then

$$F'(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} u'_x(x, y) & u'_y(x, y) \\ v'_x(x, y) & v'_y(x, y) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now from the inequality (7) and the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky inequality we obtain

$$|F(a+s, b+t) - F(a, b)| = \left| \begin{bmatrix} u(a+s, b+t) - u(a, b) \\ v(a+s, b+t) - v(a, b) \end{bmatrix} \right| = \left| \begin{bmatrix} \Delta u \\ \Delta v \end{bmatrix} \right| = \sqrt{|\Delta u|^2 + |\Delta v|^2} \leq \quad (8)$$

$$\leq \max_{\xi \in [0,1]} \sqrt{\{u'_x s + u'_y t\}^2 + \{v'_x s + v'_y t\}^2} \leq \max_{\xi \in [0,1]} \sqrt{\{|u'_x|^2 + |u'_y|^2 + |v'_x|^2 + |v'_y|^2\} \{s^2 + t^2\}},$$

where all partial derivatives are calculated at the point $(a + \xi s, b + \xi t)$.

Let $f(z) = u(x, y) + jv(x, y)$ is h -holomorphic function, then $u'_x = v'_y$, $u'_y = -v'_x$. Consequently

$$f'(z) = u'_x + jv'_x = u'_x + ju'_y = v'_y + jv'_x = v'_y + ju'_y,$$

$$|f'(z)| = \sqrt{|u'_x|^2 + |v'_x|^2} \leq |u'_x| + |u'_y| = \|f'(z)\|,$$

$$\|f(z+h) - f(z)\| = \|\Delta u + j\Delta v\| = |\Delta u| + |\Delta v| \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{|\Delta u|^2 + |\Delta v|^2},$$

where $|h| = |s + jt| = \sqrt{s^2 + t^2} \leq |s| + |t| = \|h\|$.

Theorem 6 (on finite increments for an h -holomorphic function). *Let the function f be h -holomorphic in the domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}_h$. Then*

$$\|f(z+h) - f(z)\| \leq 2 \max_{\zeta \in [z, z+h]} \|f'(\zeta)\| \|h\|$$

Proof. Due to the inequality (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(z+h) - f(z)\| &\leq \sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{|\Delta u|^2 + |\Delta v|^2} \leq \max_{\xi \in [0,1]} \sqrt{2 \{ |u'_x|^2 + |u'_y|^2 \} \{s^2 + t^2\}} \leq \\ &\leq 2 \max_{\zeta \in [z, z+h]} |f'(\zeta)| \|h\| \leq 2 \max_{\zeta \in [z, z+h]} \|f'(\zeta)\| \|h\|. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem is proved.

h -analyticity of h -holomorphic functions

Definition 4. A function f is called h -analytic at a point $z_0 \in D$ if there exists a neighborhood of this point, where f expands into a convergent power series

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k (z - z_0)^k. \quad (9)$$

The definition implies that the function f is infinitely h -differentiable in some neighborhood of the point z_0 and the series (9) is the Taylor series of the function f , i. e. $c_k = \frac{f^{(k)}(z_0)}{k!}$. The convergence domain of the series (9) is an open h -circle

$$G = \{\|z - z_0\| < r\}, \quad r = \frac{1}{\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{|c_k|}}.$$

Theorem 7. *Let the function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_h$ be infinitely many times h -differentiable in the domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}_h$,*

$$\left\| f^{(n)}(z) \right\| \leq M e^{AR^m} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall z \in \{\|z - z_0\| \leq R\} \subset D, \quad (10)$$

M, A, m are some positive constants. Then f expands into a Taylor series

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(k)}(z_0)}{k!} (z - z_0)^k, \quad z_0 \in D,$$

uniformly convergent in the circle $\|z - z_0\| \leq R$.

P r o o f. We represent $f(z)$ as

$$f(z) = T_n(z, z_0) + r_n(z),$$

where $T_n(z, z_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(k)}(z_0)}{k!} (z - z_0)^k$, $r_n(z)$ is remainder term. Let's compose an auxiliary function

$$F(t) = f(z) - T_n(z, t) = f(z) - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(t)}{k!} (z - t)^k.$$

For it we have $F(z) = 0$, $F(z_0) = r_n(z)$. Differentiate $F(t)$ by variable t

$$F'(t) = -\frac{f^{(n+1)}(t)}{n!} (z - t)^n.$$

Due to the Theorem 6 and condition (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|r_n(z)\| = \|F(z_0) - F(z)\| &\leq 2 \max_{\zeta \in [z, z+h]} \|F'(\zeta)\| \cdot \|z_0 - z\| \leq 2 \max_{\zeta \in [z, z+h]} \left\| \frac{f^{(n+1)}(t)}{n!} (z - t)^n \right\| \cdot \|z_0 - z\| \leq \\ &\leq 2 \sup_{\zeta \in [z, z+h]} \frac{1}{n!} \left\| f^{(n+1)}(\zeta) \right\| \cdot \|(z - t)^n\| \cdot \|z_0 - z\| \leq \frac{2}{n!} M e^{AR^m} R^{n+1} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \end{aligned}$$

provided $\|z - t\| \leq R$ and $\|z - z_0\| \leq R$. From here we deduce

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(k)}(z_0)}{k!} (z - z_0)^k,$$

where the series converges uniformly in the circle $\|z - z_0\| \leq R$. The theorem is proved.

Corollary 1. The remainder term of the Taylor formula in the Peano form is

$$r_n(z) = o(\|z - z_0\|^n).$$

Definition 5. Function f is h -analytic in the domain $D \in \mathbb{C}_h$ if it is h -analytic at all points of this domain.

Let f be h -analytic at the point z_0 therefore in a neighborhood of the point z_0 we have

$$f(z) = c_k (z - z_0)^k + c_{k+1} (z - z_0)^{k+1} + \dots, \quad (11)$$

where $c_k \neq 0$, $k \geq 0$.

Definition 6. Point z_0 is called a zero of order k function f if in (11) $k \geq 1$.

From (11) implies the representation

$$f(z) = (z - z_0)^k \cdot \varphi(z),$$

where $\varphi(z) = c_k + c_{k+1} (z - z_0) + \dots$, $\varphi(z)$ is h -analytic in a neighborhood of the point z_0 , $\varphi(z_0) = c_k \neq 0$. Due to the continuity of the function $\varphi(z)$, there exists a neighborhood $U(z_0)$: $\varphi(z) \neq 0 \forall z \in U(z_0)$. This implies the following theorem.

Theorem 8. If f is expandable in a neighborhood of the point z_0 in a series (11), where $k \geq 1$, and c_k is not a zero divisor, then there is a neighborhood of the point z_0 in which f has no other zeros, besides z_0 .

Theorem 9 (uniqueness theorem for h -analytic functions). Let f_1 and f_2 are h -analytic in the domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}_h$, $f_1(z) \equiv f_2(z) \forall z \in E \subset D$, where E has a limit point in D and does not contain zero divisors. Then $f_1(z) \equiv f_2(z)$ everywhere in D .

P r o o f. We denote

$$f(z) = f_1(z) - f_2(z).$$

Let $\zeta \in D$ be the limit point of the set E . Let's choose the sequence $\zeta_k \in E$: $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_k = \zeta$.

Due to continuity,

$$f(\zeta) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(\zeta_k) = 0.$$

Theorem 8 implies that $f(z) \equiv 0$ in some neighborhood of the point ζ . Let $M \subset D$ be the set of zeros of the function f , $\overset{\circ}{M}$ its interior. From the above it follows that $\overset{\circ}{M} \neq \emptyset$. If $\overset{\circ}{M} = D$, then the theorem is proved. If $\overset{\circ}{M} \subsetneq D$, then there is a boundary point d of the set $\overset{\circ}{M}$, which is an interior point of the set D . Then there exists a sequence $d_n \in \overset{\circ}{M} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = d$. Due to continuity,

$$f(d) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(d_n) = 0.$$

On the other hand, $f(z)$ is not identically equal to zero in any neighborhood of the point d , since d is not an interior point, but a boundary point of the set $\overset{\circ}{M}$. Theorem 8 implies that in some neighborhood of the point d there are no other zeros of the function f , except d . This contradicts the fact that d is a boundary point of the set $\overset{\circ}{M}$. From this we conclude that $\overset{\circ}{M} = D$. The theorem is proved.

References

- 1 Antonuccio F. Semi-Complex Analysis and Mathematical Physics. Wadham College Oxford OX1 3PN. – 2008. – 56p.
- 2 Field M. Several Complex Variables and Complex Manifolds II. Cambridge University Press. – 1982.
- 3 Розенфельд Б. А. Неевклидовы геометрии. – Москва, «Наука». – 1969. – 548с.
- 4 Ивлев Д. Д. О двойных числах и их функциях // Матем. Просв., Сер. 2, – 1961. – Выпуск № 6. – С. 197-203.
- 5 Deckelman S., Robson B. Split-complex numbers and Dirac brackets // Communications in Information and Systems. – 2014, –Vol. 14, № 3, – P. 135-159.
- 6 Khrennikov A. Hyperbolic quantum mechanics // Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras. – 2003. – Vol. 13, № 1, – P. 1-9.
- 7 Зверович Э. И., Павловский В. А. Нахождение областей сходимости и вычисление сумм степенных рядов от h -комплексного переменного // Вес. Нац. акад. наук Беларуси. Сер. физ.-мат. наук. – 2020. – Т. 56, № 2. – С. 189– 193.
- 8 Зверович Э. И. Вещественный и комплексный анализ в 6 ч. Часть 3 Дифференциальное исчисление функций векторного аргумента: учебное пособие для студентов. – Минск, «Вышэйшая школа». – 2008. – 129с.

В. А. Павловский, И. Л. Васильев

Беларусь мемлекеттік университеті, Минск, Беларусь Республикасы

h -комплекс айнымалы функциялардың h -голоморфтылығы және h -аналитикалығы

Аннотация: Соңғы кездерде геометрия мен механикадағы қолданыстарына байланысты h -комплекс сандар жиынында анықталған функциялардың қасиеттерін зерттеу жұмыстарына қызығушылық арта бастады. Ұсынылған мақалада h -комплекс айнымалы функцияның h -дифференциалдануы және h -голоморфтілігі үшін қажетті және жеткілікті шарттар келтірілген; ақырлы өсімшелер туралы теорема дәлелденді; функцияның h -аналитикалық болуының жеткілікті шарттары табылды; h -аналитикалық функциялар үшін жалғыздық теоремасы дәлелденді.

Түйін сөздер: h -дифференциалдану, h -голоморфтылық, h -аналитикалық, h -комплекс сандар сақинасы, нөлдің бөлгіштері, функцияның нөлдері.

В. А. Павловский, И. Л. Васильев

Белорусский государственный университет, Минск, Республика Беларусь

Об h -голоморфности и h -аналитичности функций h -комплексного переменного

Аннотация: В последнее время в связи с имеющимися приложениями в геометрии и механике возрос интерес к исследованиям свойств функций, заданных на множестве h -комплексных чисел. В представленной статье приводятся необходимые и достаточные условия h -дифференцируемости и h -голоморфности функций h -комплексного переменного; доказана теорема о конечных приращениях; найдены достаточные условия h -аналитичности; доказана теорема единственности для h -аналитических функций.

Ключевые слова: h -дифференцируемость, h -голоморфность, h -аналитичность, Кольцо h -комплексных чисел, Делители нуля, Нули функции.

References

- 1 Antonuccio F. Semi-Complex Analysis and Mathematical Physics (Wadham College Oxford OX1 3PN United Kingdom, 2008. 56p).
- 2 Field M. Several Complex Variables and Complex Manifolds II (Cambridge University Press, 1982).
- 3 Rosenfeld B.A. Neevklidova geometrija [Non-Euclidean geometries] (Nauka, Moscow, 1969. 48p.) [in Russian].
- 4 Ivlev D.D. On double numbers and their functions. Mat. Pros., Ser. 2 (6), 197-203 (1961).
- 5 Deckelman S. Robson B. Split-complex numbers and Dirac brackets. Communications in Information and Systems, 14 (3), 135-159 (2014).
- 6 Khrennikov A. Hyperbolic quantum mechanics. Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras, 13(1), 1-9 (2003).
- 7 Zverovich E.I., Pavlovsky V.A. Nahozhdenie oblastej shodimosti i vychislenie summ stepennykh rjadov ot h -kompleksnogo peremennogo [Finding the areas of convergence and calculating sums of power series of an h -complex variable], Ves. Nac. akad. navuk Belarusi. Ser. fiz.-mat. navuk. [Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of Belarus. Physics and Mathematics series], 56(2), 189-193 (2020) [in Russian].
- 8 Zverovich E.I. Veshhestvennyj i kompleksnyj analiz v 6 ch. Chast' 3 Differencial'noe ischislenie funkcij vektornogo argumenta: uchebnoe posobie dlja studentov [Real and complex analysis in 6 parts Part 3 Differential calculus of functions of a vector argument: Manual for Schools] (Minsk, Vyshejschaya shkola, 2008. 129p.) [in Russian].

Authors Information:

Vasiliev I.L. – PhD (Physics and Mathematics), Associate Professor of the Department of Function Theory, Belarusian State University, 4 Nezavisimosti Ave., Minsk, Republic of Belarus.

Pavlovsky V.A. – corresponding author, Postgraduate Student of the Department of Function Theory, Belarusian State University, 4 Nezavisimosti Ave., Minsk, Republic of Belarus.

Vasiliev I.L. - физика-математика ғылымдарының кандидаты, Функциялар теориясы кафедрасының доценті, Беларусь мемлекеттік университеті, Тәуелсіздік даңғылы, 4, Минск, Беларусь Республикасы.

Pavlovskiy V.A. - корреспонденция үшін автор, Функциялар теориясы кафедрасының аспиранты, Беларусь мемлекеттік университеті, Тәуелсіздік даңғылы, 4, Минск, Беларусь Республикасы.

Received 29.11.2020